

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/081,642 02/21/2002		Norbert Hofgen	HUBR-1203.2Cont	4195	
24972	7590	07/11/2005		EXAMINER	
		ORSKI, LLP	MORRIS, PATRICIA L		
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
				1625	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/081,642	HOFGEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia L. Morris	1625		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Patricia L. Morris	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP</li> <li>1.               ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No.     </li> </ul>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complet following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).</li> </ul>	f the final rejection. sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	e final rejection, whichever f the final rejection.	er is later. In no
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supply of the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed offer a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a bria	f will make a ambarad l	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			oecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	w);	,	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)      □ w     vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21, 26 and 28-32.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	Men
	4	Patricia Morris Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

M.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons clearly set forth in record. Further, applicants' amendment is NON-RESPONSIVE to the final Office action becasuse it FAILS to CANCEL the non-elected subject matter. Also, the amendment introduces new 112 rejections. Again, the claims fail to claim a complete process. Applicants have failed to distinguish their process from the prior art process.